

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.5211 OF 2005

Dinesh G. Singh ...Applicant
VS.
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents
Mr.E.B.Dixit for the Applicant
Ms S.D.Shinde, A.P.P. for State

CORAM: A.S.OKA, J.
DATE : AUGUST 23, 2005

P.C.:

1. Heard Advocate for the Applicant and the learned A.P.P. for State. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that the Applicant is in custody for last 60 days and the entire documentary evidence is in custody of the Investigating Officer. He submitted that the custody of the Applicant is no longer needed. The learned A.P.P. stated that the investigation will be completed within a short time and the charge sheet will be filed within a period of 15 days. Statement is accepted.

2. Considering the nature of allegations against the Applicant and considering the fact that the offence has been registered under sections 420,465,467,471 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, this is not a case where the Applicant can be enlarged on bail even before completion of investigation. Hence, the Application is rejected.

3. Liberty to file a fresh application for grant of regular

bail immediately after the charge sheet is filed.

JUDGE